

إمكانية استخدام البعد بين زاويتي الفم في تقدير العرض الإنسي الوحشي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية لتحقيق ابتسامة تجميلية

إياد سويد*

يوسف العباس**

المُلخَص

خلفية البحث وهدفه: استنتجت بحوث عدّة وجود علاقة بين العرض الكلي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية والأبعاد الوجهية المختلفة في العديد من المجتمعات، ونظراً إلى عدم توفر أية دراسات عن المجتمع السوري هدف هذا البحث إلى تحري وجود علاقة بين البعد بين زاويتي الفم والعرض الإنسي الوحشي الكلي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية في المجتمع السوري. مواد البحث وطرقه: تألفت عينة البحث من 263 مراجعاً (147 ذكوراً، 116 إناثاً). أخذت طبقات للفك العلوي بمادة الإنسي - الوحشي الألبينات للحصول على أمثلة الدراسة لقياس البعد للأسنان بواسطة خيط سنّي، كما أُجريت صور شمسية أمامية لقياس البعد بين زاويتي الفم باستخدام برنامج 'SIGMA SCAN PRO 5'. النتائج: وُجد أنّ متوسط البعد بين زاويتي الفم 50.517 ± 2.658 ملم والعرض الإنسي الوحشي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية 52.977 ± 2.710 ملم للمجموعة كاملة، في حين كان (52.473 ± 2.981 ملم و 55.013 ± 2.411 ملم) لدى الذكور و (49.083 ± 2.097 ملم و 51.249 ± 2.814 ملم) لدى الإناث. كما لُحظ وجود علاقة إيجابية بين هذين المتغيرين ($r = 0.713$ و $P < 0.000$)، إلا أنّ هذه العلاقة كانت ضعيفة عند تقسيم العينة إلى ذكور وإناث ($r = 0.451$ و $r = 0.490$).

الاستنتاج: تبين وجود علاقة قوية بين البعد بين زاويتي الفم والعرض الإنسي الوحشي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية للمجموعة كاملة في حين أصبحت هذه العلاقة ضعيفة عند تقسيم العينة إلى ذكور وإناث. اعتماداً على هذه النتائج يعدّ البعد بين زاويتي الفم مرجعاً جيداً لتقدير العرض الكلي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية عند إجراء أي تدخل علاجي عليها. كلمات مفتاحية: البعد بين زاويتي الفم، العرض الإنسي الوحشي للأسنان الأمامية العلوية، بناء الأسنان الأمامية

* أستاذ مساعد - قسم تعويضات الأسنان الثابتة - كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة دمشق.

** مدرس - قسم تعويضات الأسنان وطب أسنان الأطفال - كلية طب الأسنان - الجامعة الدولية للعلوم والتكنولوجيا.

The Use of Intercommissural Width to Estimate the Maxillary Anterior Teeth Width to Achieve Esthetic Smile

Eyad Swed*

Yousef Al-Abbass**

Abstract

Background: To date, and to the best of our knowledge, there were no data on the relationship between the facial and the dental measurements in the Syrian population.

Aim of The Study: This research aimed to study the potential relationship between the combined mesiodistal width of the six maxillary anterior teeth (MDW) and The Inter-Commissural Width (ICW).

Materials and Methods: Maxillary casts and frontal digital photographs were obtained from 263 adult Syrians (147 males and 116 females). Inter-Commissural Width was measured from the frontal photographs using a computer program 'SIGMA SCAN PRO 5'. In addition, the combined mesiodistal width of maxillary anterior teeth was measured from the casts for each participant using a dental floss. Means and standard deviations were calculated for all the measured variables.

Results: The MDW mean was found to be 52.977 ± 2.710 mm, and 50.517 ± 2.658 mm for ICW for the sample, while it was (MDW 55.013 ± 2.411 mm, ICW 52.473 ± 2.981 mm) for males and (MDW 51.249 ± 2.814 mm, ICW 49.083 ± 2.097 mm) for females. There was a significant positive correlation between ICW and MDW ($r = 0.713$ and $P < 0.000$), but it was weak positive correlation in males and females groups ($r = 0.451$ and $r = 0.490$ respectively).

Conclusions: A strong association was found between ICW and MDW for the whole sample but it was weak in both males and females groups. The Inter-Commissural Width is a reliable guide to estimate the combined mesio-distal width of the maxillary anterior teeth.

Keywords: Mesio-Distal Width, Inter-Commissural Width, Teeth Width Estimation.

* Associate Professor - Department of Prosthodontics - Faculty of Dentistry - Damascus University.

**Assistant Professor - Department of Prosthodontics and Pediatric Dentistry - Faculty of Dentistry- International University of Science and Technology.

Introduction

Dental appearance, one important aspect of facial attractiveness, encompasses not only teeth color but also the position, shape, and size and related aspects¹. When reconstructing maxillary anterior teeth, some parameters could be used to assist in making a treatment plan that corresponds to the expectations of both patients and dental professionals. The art of selecting an appropriate shape of the teeth has high impact in dentistry because it enhances the beauty, restores the facial harmony, and brings psychological comfort to the patients^{2,3}.

The selection and fabrication of maxillary anterior teeth must be in proportion with facial measurements, due to their prominent location in the arch when viewed from frontal aspect, to achieve a good esthetic⁴. Many attempts had been made to the use of facial parameters as a guide for selection and arrangement of anterior teeth for removable prosthesis, especially when pre-extraction records are not available. Bizygomatic Width, Inter-Commissural Width (ICW), Inter-Alar Width (IAW), Inner-Canthal Distance (ICD), and Inter-Pupillary Distance (IPD) had been widely used for this purpose^{5,6,7,8}.

Al-Wazzan *et al* 1995⁹ studied the relationship between some facial measurements (Bizygomatic width, IPD, IAW, and ICW) and MDW, and they found that the investigated facial parameters would not be a reliable guide for estimating the mesio-distal width of maxillary anterior teeth. Also, Al-Wazzan 2001¹⁰ concluded that ICD can be used as a preliminary method for determining the width of the maxillary anterior teeth for edentulous.

El-Sheikh *et al* 1998⁴ investigated the relationship between IPD, IAW, and MDW and found that these parameters could be used as a guide for maxillary anterior teeth selection.

Abdullah *et al* 2009⁷ studied the relationship of the ICD with the MDW and showed that ICD may be used as an initial predictor to estimate the combined width of the maxillary anterior teeth and serve as a useful additional factor in tooth selection. Al-Wazzan *et al*⁴ concluded that ICD can be used as a preliminary method for determining the width of the maxillary anterior teeth for edentulous.

Thalib *et al* 2016¹¹ concluded in their study that intercommissural width was directly proportional to the mesiodistal width of upper central incisors in Buginese tribe.

Miranda *et al* 2016¹² examined two-hundred individuals to find any correlation between intercommissural width and mesio-distal width of anterior teeth. Finally, they concluded that there is a strong relation between these two parameters.

Parciak *et al* 2017¹³ investigated the relationship between the mesiodistal dimensions of the six maxillary anterior teeth and the bizygomatic width, interpupillary distance, intercanthal distance, interalar width, and intercommissural width of individuals of Asian, African-American, and white ethnicities. They did not find any correlation the facial dimensions and mesiodistal dimensions of the six maxillary anterior teeth among the three ethnicities except in Asian women, where the intercommissural width correlated with the width of the central incisor, the width of the two central incisors, the width of the four incisors, and the width of the six maxillary anterior teeth.

Kurt *et al* 2018¹⁴ in their meta-analysis research found that there is little consistency in the selection of the anterior teeth appropriate for the intercommissural width of the individual by the dentist.

Zhuang *et al* 2010¹⁵ studied the difference in facial dimensions between four races and noted that there is statistically significant differences in facial anthropometric dimensions ($P < 0.05$) between males and females, all racial/ethnic groups.

Similarly, Jahanshahi *et al* 2008¹⁶ found a statistical differences in all facial dimensions between Fares and Turkman ethnic groups. Also, there was significant differences between males and females in each group.

The objectives of this study were to investigate any relationship between Inter-Commissural Width (ICW) with the combined mesiodistal width of anterior maxillary teeth (MDW) in a Syrian population and using an existing relationship as a guideline to aid in maxillary anterior teeth fabrication with appropriate shape and dimensions.

Materials And Methods

A convenient sample of 263 adult Syrians (categorized into 147 males and 116 females) aged 18 - 30 years participated in the study. The inclusion criteria in this study were:

1. Patients with permanent teeth and no history of orthodontic treatment or extraction.
2. All the teeth are morphologically within normal range.
3. Good alignment of maxillary anterior teeth without spacing, missing or overlapping.
4. No proximal caries.
5. No restorations.
6. No abrasion or attrition.
7. No gingival hypertrophy.

Frontal image was taken for each participant using a digital camera.

Special computer software named "SIGMASCAN PRO5" was used to prepare the captured images and allow performing the different facial measurements (Figure 1).

The Camera was fitted on the tripod and the tripod was adjusted so that its head was parallel with the floor and elevated so that the camera opposes the midface region of the seated participant and 60 away from the midface region of the participant for the frontal captures ^{9, 10}. However, before photography

each participant was asked to remain still and look directly at the lens and the image was captured while the patient says the letter (M) (to make the jaws in the physiological rest position) with the lips closed and relaxed at the moment of image capture.




Figure (1): Sigmascan Pro5 Interface Window

Irreversible hydrocolloid impression of maxillary arch for each participant was obtained for each participant and the casts were pored with type (4) dental stone. The circumferential arc distance between the distal surface of the left and right canines was measured

with a dental floss through the contact points of these teeth, it was then sectioned and measured. This parameter was repeated three times and the average value was calculated and recorded for this measurement (Figure 2).



Figure (2): The circumferential arc distance between the distal surface of the left and right canines

Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) computer software (SPSS 16.0 SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) was used to calculate mean and standard deviation of each parameter. Also, the correlation between each of the facial measurements and combined mesio-distal width of maxillary anterior teeth was calculated using Pearson correlation coefficient. Finally, a comparison of the results between males and females was performed using student T-Test at 95% confidence interval.

Reliability of the measurements

In order to test the reliability of the measurements, 10 randomly selected casts and photos were measured by

five dental practitioners within a two-week period. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) revealed no significant differences among different individuals testing the casts and images or between the first and the second measurements made to confirm the reliability of the measurements ($p > 0.05$).

Results

Table (1) shows that in all the parameters, males exhibited higher values than females. Furthermore, there was a statistically significant difference between males and females in all the measured parameters ($P < 0.000$).

	Total Sample (mm)	Males (mm)	Females (mm)
ICW	50.517±2.658	52.473±2.981	49.083±2.097
MDW	52.977±2.710	55.013±2.411	51.249±2.814

The MDW mean was found to be 52.977±2.710 mm, 50.517±2.658 mm for ICW in the whole sample. While it was (MDW 55.013±2.411 mm, ICW 52.473±2.981 mm) for males and (MDW 51.249±2.814 mm, ICW 49.083±2.097 mm) for females.

Pearson correlation test was conducted to measure the relationship between the inter-commissural width and the combined mesiodistal width of the maxillary anterior teeth. There is a significant strong positive relation between the ICW and the MDW ($r = 0.713$ and $P < 0.000$) for the whole sample (Table 2) and it could be calculated with the following equation:

$$\text{MDW} = \text{ICW} \times (1.05)$$

		ICW
MDW	Pearson Correlation	.713
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

Furthermore, it was found that there is weak positive correlation between MDW and ICW ($r = 0.451$ and $P < 0.000$) in male group (Table 3), also it was resulted that there is a weak positive correlation MDW and ICW ($r = 0.490$ and $P < 0.000$) in female group (Table 4).

		ICW
MDW	Pearson Correlation	.451
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

		ICW
MDW	Pearson Correlation	.490
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000

Discussion

The use of anatomical facial references, such as the ICW; is one of the most methods applied to estimate the ideal maxillary anterior teeth shape and diameter during the fabrication stage. In the present day, dentists are uncertain about the true value of this method due to the lack of data.

In agreement with the present study, most of the studies stated that the mean values of all ICW and MDW measurements are greater for men than women which suggested differences in sex.^{1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9,10}

Al-Wazzan *et al*¹ found that the ICW measured 50.79 ± 5.09 mm, 53.51 ± 4.56 mm, and 48.68 ± 4.46 mm for the total sample, males, and females, respectively. This was approximately similar to the measurements of the present study 50.517 ± 2.658 mm, 52.473 ± 2.981 mm, and 49.083 ± 2.097 mm for the whole sample, males, and females, respectively. On the other hand, Al-Wazzan *et al*^{1,8} stated that there was no correlation between the ICW and MDW among the tested sample, even when the population was divided according to sex, so the ICW would not be a reliable guide for estimating the combined width of maxillary anterior teeth. In this study, a significant strong relation was found between ICW and MDW ($r = 0.703$ and $P < 0.000$) for the total sample. Furthermore, this significant relation was also found when separating the sample depending on sex, ($r = 0.601$ and $P < 0.000$), and ($r = 0.635$ and $P < 0.000$) for males, and females, respectively.

In agreement to this study, Gomes *et al* 2006⁽¹⁾ mentioned that a significant correlation between ICW and the MDW, when observed from the frontal aspect ($P \leq 0.000$).

Also, Sinavarat *et al* 2013¹⁷ also studied 100 Thai subjects and found a correlation between the intercommissural width to the intercanine tip width and the width of the distal surface of the canine.

Miranda *et al* results were also similar to this study where it was observed a strong correlation between intercommissural distance and the mesio-distal width of maxillary anterior teeth.

In a study conducted by Kini *et al* 2012¹⁸ on Indian subjects to investigate the relation between ICW and MDW of the upper teeth it was resulted that there is a relation between these two parameter, and the intercommissural distances with the help of standardized photographs can help us determine the combined widths of the anterior teeth accurately. These conclusions were similar to the ones resulted from Banu *et al* 2017¹⁹ which found a significant correlation between these two parameters also.

Deogade *et al* 2014²⁰ concluded in their study on 540 Indian persons that intercommissural width could be used as a supportive guide in estimating the mesio-distal width of upper anterior teeth. While, Thalib *et al*

in their study on buginese tribe found no correlation between ICW and MDW of maxillary teeth.

Conclusions

This study limited itself to a sample consisting of 263 subjects categorized unequally between males and females with the former being the larger of the two. The unequal distributions of participants on the basis of gender appears to be related to the social affairs of a conservative society that makes the number of female participants unsurprisingly smaller than that of male ones, especially when it comes to photography and its ethical concerns.

1. Syrian males and females are different in all of the facial and dental parameters included in this study, with males' parameters significantly higher than females.

2. There was an association between ICW and MDW for the whole sample and it could be calculated with the following equation:

$$\text{MDW} = \text{ICW} \times 1.05$$

3. This equation could help the dentist to estimate the approximately combined width of maxillary anterior teeth as a first step in building a perfect smile.

References

1. Gomes V., Gonçalves L., Prado C., Lopes I., Lucas B. Correlation between Facial Measurements and the Mesiodistal Width of the Maxillary Anterior Teeth. *Journal of Esthetic and Restorative Dentistry*. 2006; 18(4): 196-205
2. Kassab N. The selection of maxillary anterior teeth width in relation to facial measurements at different types of face form. *Al-Rafidain Dent J*. 2005; 5(1): 15-23
3. Mosharraf R., Sadeghian S., Farzan A., Malekzadeh F. The relationship between the mesiodistal width of maxillary incisors and width of the mouth, interalar width, Bizygomatic width and intercanthal distance. *Int J Dental Anthropology*. 2006; 8: 22-30.
4. El-Sheikh H.M., Al-Athel M.S. The Relationship of Interalar Width, Interpupillary Width and Maxillary Anterior Teeth Width In Saudi Population. *Odonto stomatol Trop*. 1998; 21(84): 7-10
5. Hasanreisoglu U., Berksun S., Aras K., Arslan I. An analysis of maxillary anterior teeth: facial and dental proportions. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2005; 94(6): 530-538
6. Žagar M., Zlataric D. Influence of Esthetic Dental and Facial Measurements on the Caucasian Patients' Satisfaction. *J Esthet Restor Dent*. 2011; 23(1): 12-21
7. Abdullah M., Stipho H., Talic Y., Khan N. The Significance of Inner Canthal distance in Prosthodontics. *The Saudi Dental Journal*. 2009-9-1-36-39-full
8. Habbu N.S., Nadgir D.V., Joshi N., Murali R. Interpupillary Distance As A Guide For The Selection Of Upper Anterior Teeth. *Int J Dent Sci*. 2010, Vol9, Number 1
9. Al-Wazzan K., Al-Haidan A., Al-Madi E., Al-Mufarj A. The Relationship Between Facial References and Mesiodistal Width of Maxillary Anterior Teeth Among Saudi Patients. *AJD*. 1995; 20(4): 39-45
10. Al-Wazzan K. The relationship between intercanthal dimension and the widths of maxillary anterior teeth. *J Prosthet Dent*. 2001; 86(6): 608-612
11. Thalib B, Saputri A. Correlation between interalar width and intercommissural width against mesiodistal width of central upper incisor in buginese tribe. *J Dentomaxillofacial Sci*. 2016; 1(1): 25-30.
12. Miranda GA, D'Souza M. Evaluating the reliability of the interalar width and intercommissural width as guides in selection of artificial maxillary anterior teeth: A clinical study. *J Interdiscip Dentistry* 2016; 6:64-70.
13. Parciak E.C., Dahiya A.T., AlRumaih H.S., Kattadiyil M.T., Baba N.Z., Goodacre C.J. Comparison of maxillary anterior tooth width and facial dimensions of 3 ethnicities. *J Prosth Dent*, 2017, 118 (4), pp. 504-510.
14. Kurt A, Isik-Ozkol G. Conventional methods for selecting form, size, and color of maxillary anterior teeth: Review of the literature. *Eur J Prosthodont* 2015; 3:57-63.
15. Zhuang Z., Landsittel D., Benson S., Roberge R., Shaffer R. Facial Anthropometric Differences among Gender, Ethnicity, and Age Groups. *Ann. Occup. Hyg*. 2010; 54 (4): 391-402
16. Jahanshahi M., Golalipour M. J., Heidari K. The effect of ethnicity on facial anthropometry in Northern Iran. *Singapore Med J*. 2008; 49(11): 940
17. Sinavarat P, Anunmana C, Hossain S. The relationship of maxillary canines to the facial anatomical landmarks in a group of Thai people. *J Adv Prosthodont* 2013; 5:369-73.
18. Ashwini Y. Kini Y.A., Angadi G.S. Biometric ratio in estimating widths of maxillary anterior teeth derived after correlating anthropometric measurements with dental measurements. *Gerodont* 2013; 30(2):105-11
19. Banu R, Dandekeri S, Shenoy KK, Shetty S, Bhat V, Ragher M. An In Vivo Study to Compare and Evaluate the Correlation of the Facial Measurements with the Combined Mesiodistal Width of the Maxillary Anterior Teeth between Males and Females. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* 2017; 9 (Suppl: 1): S127-S13
20. Deogade SC, Mantri SS, Saxena S, Daryani H. Correlation between Combined Width of Maxillary Anterior Teeth, Interpupillary Distance and Intercommissural Width in a Group of Indian People. *Int J Prosthodont Restor Dent*. 2014; 4(4): 105-111.

تاريخ ورود البحث: 2018/04/24.

تاريخ قبوله للنشر: 2018/07/22.